

1 KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321)
United States Attorney

2 MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549)
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 THOMAS M. O'CONNELL (NYSBN 1801950)
Assistant United States Attorney

5 150 Almaden Blvd., Suite 900
6 San Jose, California 95113
Telephone: (408) 535-5053
7 FAX: (408) 535-5066
Thomas.M.OConnell@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 SAN JOSE DIVISION ***E-FILED - 3/27/07***

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. CR 06-00425 RMW
)	
14 Plaintiff,)	
)	STIPULATION AND []
15 v.)	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
)	
16 CHRISTINA MARIE FLORES, and)	
17 JOSE LITO CAMPOS,)	
)	SAN JOSE VENUE
18 Defendants.)	

19
20 On January 29, 2007, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for a status
21 conference. The parties jointly requested that the case be set for trial May 21, 2007. In addition,
22 the parties requested an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act from January 29, 2007 to
23 May 21, 2007. Defendant Flores, who was present with her attorney, J.A. Hudson, agreed to the
24 exclusion. Defendant Campos, who was present with his attorney, Assistant Federal Public
25 Defender Cynthia Lie, also agreed to the exclusion. AUSA Thomas O'Connell also agreed. The
26 parties agree and stipulate that an exclusion of time is appropriate based on the defendant's need
27 for effective preparation of counsel.

28 SO STIPULATED:

KEVIN V. RYAN
United States Attorney

1 DATED: 02/01/07

2 /s/
 3 THOMAS M. O'CONNELL
 Assistant United States Attorney

4 DATED: 02/01/07

5 /s/
 6 CYNTHIA C. LIE
 Assistant Federal Public Defender
 Counsel for Mr. Campos

7 DATED: 02/01/07

8 /s/
 9 J.A. HUDSON
 Counsel for Ms. Flores

10 Accordingly, for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that time be excluded
 11 under the Speedy Trial Act from January 29, 2007 to May 21, 2007. The Court finds, based on
 12 the aforementioned reasons, that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance
 13 outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The failure to grant
 14 the requested continuance would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective
 15 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage
 16 of justice. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18
 17 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).

18 SO ORDERED.

19
 20 DATED: 3/27/07

21 Ronald M. Whyte
 22 RONALD M. WHYTE
 23 United States District Judge
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28